

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/552,073	Applicant(s) COYLE ET AL.	
	Examiner ELLA COLBERT	Art Unit 3694	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ELLA COLBERT. (3) ____.
- (2) Christopher J. Chan, Reg.#44,070. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: September 6&7 2011.

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal [copy given to: ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☒Others
(For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)

Claim(s) discussed: 26-159.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.

Substance of Interview

(For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...)

On September 6, 2011, the Examiner telephoned Applicants' Representative, Attorney Christopher Chan to discuss a possible allowance and proposed cancelling claims 26-157 by Examiner's Amendment and allowing new claims 158 and 159. Attorney Chan said that he would need to discuss the proposal with his clients and he would get back to me before 5:00PM on Thursday, September 9. Attorney Chan left a voicemail message on Wednesday, September 7 giving the Examiner Authorization to cancel claim 26-157 and to allow claims 158 and 159 in an Examiner's amendment. Agreement was reached and claims 158 and 159 will be renumbered claims 1 and 2 and be allowed..

Applicant recodation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.

Examiner recodation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recodation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recodation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.

☐ Attachment

/Ella Colbert/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694